


Screen students ages 6–18 years for language & literacy disorders, including dyslexia

LEARN MORE



The SLS screener is an evidence-based questionnaire and screener that gathers input about a student's language & literacy skills from parents, teachers, and students.



Used with an entire classroom or with individual students, the SLS gathers valuable information about strengths and needs—so you can plan next steps for students at risk for disorders.

The SLS has been validated for three purposes.

SLS USER'S MANUAI

SCREENER for Language & Literacy Disorders



Screening

The SLS can accurately identify students who should be evaluated further for a language/literacy disorder.



Screening

The SLS can accurately identify students who should be evaluated further for a language/literacy disorder.

Sensitivity and specificity for screening with the SLS are strong for ratings made by teachers and parents.

	Sensitivity	Specificity
Teachers	.90	.90
Parents	.85	.83

Reliability and Validity of the Student Language Scale

In evaluating assessment instruments, evidence is needed to determine whether a tool in evaluating assessment instruments, evidence is needed to determine whether a total is reliable in its consistency and valid for its stated purposes (American Educational is remaine in its consistency and value for its stated purposes (American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, & National Council on Measurement in Education, 2014). In this section, we describe evidence that the Student Language Scale (SLS) measures the constructs and content it purports to measure (validity) and does so consistently (reliability). First, we summarize methods used to evaluate the scientific evidence for reliability and validity of the SLS. According to traditional test theory, establishing validity of an as-SCIENTIFIC METHODS

sessment instrument includes procedures for identifying the constructs the tool will

measure and the content for doing so (American Educational Research Association

et al., 2014).

In early planning for the SLS, we considered how to gather information that could be Theoretical Models and Expert Consultation

gained from ethnographic interviews of teachers, parents, and students, which could gamen from enmographic interviews of reachers, parents, and somenis, which could serve as a precursor to curriculum-based language assessment and intervention (Nelson, serve as a precursor to curriculum-based language assessment and meet vention (vention) 2010). We also considered how school social workers use ethnographic interviewing to 2010), we also considered now school such workers use enabling ments and teachers, as con-gain insights into multiple perspectives when interviewing parents and teachers, as congain insignts into multiple perspectives when interviewing parents and teachers, as con-tributed by coauthor Barbara Howes, Ph.D., LMSW. In addition, we outlined the key constructs to be rated with the SLS by referring to the language levels-by-modalities model

Structs to be rated with the SLS by referring to the language levels-by-modanties model for the co-normed Test of Integrated Language and Literacy SkillsTM (TILLSTM; Nelson, The next step was to generate a set of preliminary content items to represent the The next step was to generate a set or premumary content items to represent me targeted constructs. To refine early versions of the SLS, we followed this step by consult-Plante, Helm-Estabrooks, & Hotz, 2016a). targeted constructs. To reture early versions of the OLO, we tonowed this step by constant ing a panel of interdisciplinary scientific experts and parents regarding content of the

ing a panet or intertuscipation scientific experts and parents regarding content or me scale (see the Appendix for acknowledgements). This group included experts who could scale (see the Appendix for acknowledgements). This group included experts who control comment on the cultural-linguistic appropriateness of candidate SLS items for a diverse pulation of students and tamines. The quantitative analysis methods were planned in consultation with TILLS cothe quantumive analysis memous were planned in consummon with rights co-author Elena Plante, Ph.D., CCC-SLP, and standardization project design and analysis population of students and families.

expert E. Brooks Applegate, Ph.D. Many of the analyses described in this chapter were Excerpted from Student Language Scale (SLS) User's Manual by Nickola Wolf Nelson, Ph.D., CCC-SLP, BCS-CL, Barbara M. Howes, Ph.D., LMSW, & Michele A. Anderson, Ph.D., CCC-SLP, Brookes Publishing Lyawy brookespublishing com L1 and eae 9775

Brookes Publishing | www.brookespublishing.com | 1-800-638-3775

For more information on the research behind the SLS, download the free technical excerpt.

Download Now



Gather input for evaluation & planning

The SLS can be used to gather input about a struggling student's strengths and needs from multiple sources.

Gather input for evaluation & planning

The SLS can be used to gather input about a struggling student's strengths and needs from multiple sources.

This meets the requirements of IDEA (PL-108-446) to gather parent and teacher input as part of evaluation and planning activities for students with special needs.



School-home communication


Professionals can compare SLS forms at meetings such as parent-teacher conferences to enhance school-home communication for students at all ability levels.



Fast & easy

Teachers, parents, and students each complete the onepage SLS individually in just 3 minutes or less.

Administration and scoring is simple—a pencil and paper are all you need.



The SLS Screener Kit includes:

- One User's Manual
- 50 Student Language Scale forms
- One Quick Start Guide

Student Language Scale

Nickola Wolf Nelson, Ph.D., CCC-SLP, BCS-CL Barbara M. Howes, Ph.D., LMSW Michele A. Anderson, Ph.D., CCC-SLP

This Student Language Scale may be used with the Test of Integrated Language and Literacy Skills™, other assessment tests, or as a stand-alone measure to gather multiple perspectives on a student's language/literacy abilities and other skills.

SLS

Student's name: Person responding:					st	 Demographic information about the student and respondent who is fillin out the SLS. 				
RATING SCALE					-					
	er students of the same age, circ v good this student is at	le the	Not good						Very good	
1. Understanding s	chool vocabulary words		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
2. Using school voo	cabulary words when talking									
3. Figuring out new		Rating scale:								
4. Spelling words correctly when writing			The 12-item rating scale section of the SLS incorporates descriptive statements that informants rate using a							
5. Understanding a	story when listening		7-point Likert-like scale. The directions are to rate the student on each item, "compared with other students of							
6. Telling a story that	at makes sense									
7. Understanding a	story when reading		the same age." This involves circling a number betwee							
8. Writing a story th		1 and 7, with anchors of (1) Not good and (7) Very good. Items 1–8 represent the eight components of the TILLS								
o. whiting a story ti	O Fellowing english dispetiens								ftho TILL	
0,	n directions			St. 12 . 18 . 1		•				
0,			mode	el for lar	oresent iguage/l ated cog	iteracy s	kills, an	d Items		
9. Following spoke	about schoolwork		mode	el for lar	guage/l	iteracy s	kills, an	d Items		

ABILITY CHECKLIST

Diagon abook	the things	that .	vou think are	anningt fo	+hio	atudant	+0	de
Please check	. the things	ulat	you triink are	easiestio	r uns	student	10	a

□ Art (drawing/painting) □ Dance □ Music □ Mechanical □ Sports □ Math □ Social □ Listening □ Talking Reading Writing Other:

Comments: Please check the things that you think are hardest for this student to d Art (drawing/painting)	Ability checklist: This duplicated checklist of skills represents nonlanguage as well as language skill areas. Informants are asked to check the areas that are <i>easiest</i> for the student on the first list, and those that are <i>hardest</i> for the student from the same set of choices. This allows for input on areas of strength, as well as on the second list on areas of concern
PRIORITY QUESTION What one thing do you think is most important to help this student do b	better at school?

Priority question:

This question is open ended, allowing a wide variety of responses, which can reflect personal meanings. It also requests the informant to prioritize the area of greatest concern.

TEST OF INTEGRATED

The SLS is made up of 4 sections.

Student Language Scale	SLS			
	An an Contra State of the second state of the			
Student's name: Ju	stin		Grade: 5th	_{Date:} 11/26/15
Person responding:	☐ General education teacher ☐ Student	□ Special education teacher	Other:	
1 Takening spoken directions 1 Bang organized allocal activations 1 Papers attention is actual 2 minute from they find you find you accurate MALIFY CHECKLIST Papers should be finge for you find you accurate MALIFY CHECKLIST Papers should be finge for you find you accurate Male of the Strage for you find you find an accurate Disasting Onione Office Office Disasting Onione Office Disasting Office Disasting Office Disasting Office Disasting Onione Office Disasting Office Disasti	respor	graphic information ndent who is filling		

Student Language Scale SLS

Nonus Wolf Nation, Ph.D., UCC-BJP Barbars Howes, Ph.D., M.S.H Michala A. Anderson, Ph.D., UCC-BJP



RATING SCALE

TILLS

Compared with other students of the same age, circle the number to show how good this student is at		Not good						Very good
1. Understanding school vocabulary words	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
2. Using school vocabulary words when talking		_	_		-	-		
3. Figuring out new words when reading The 12-item rating scale section								
4. Spelling words correctly when writing incorporates descriptive statements that								
5. Understanding a story when listening 6. Telling a story that makes sense informants rate								
6. Telling a story that makes sense	Inform	ants r	ate u	sing	а /-р	oint L	IKert	-
7. Understanding a story when reading	like sca	ale. Th	e dir	ectio	ns ar	e to ra	ate tl	ne
8. Writing a story that makes sense	studen	t on o	ach i	tom	"com	naro	d wit	h
9. Following spoken directions				•		•		
10. Being organized about schoolwork	other s	studer	nts of	the	same	age."		
11. Paying attention in school			2	3	4	5	(9)	- /
12. Interacting socially with other students		1	2	3	4	5	6	$\overline{\mathcal{O}}$

Student Language Scale SLS

Nonus Wolf Nation, Ph.D., UCC-BJP Barbars Howes, Ph.D., M.S.H Michala A. Anderson, Ph.D., UCC-BJP



RATING SCALE

TILLS

TAXY BY CALLERY

Compared with other students of the same age, circle the number to show how good this student is at	Not good						Very good
1. Understanding school vocabulary words	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2. Using school vocabulary words when talking	1	2	3	1	6	6	7
3. Figuring out new words when reading	Items	1 and	d 2 as	k abo	out us	sing	7
3. Figuring out new words when reading 4. Spelling words correctly when writing				7			
5. Understanding a story when listening	school vocabulary when						
6. Telling a story that makes sense	listeni	ng or	[.] talki	ng.			7
7. Understanding a story when reading		2	3	4	C	0	7
8. Writing a story that makes sense	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
9. Following spoken directions	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
10. Being organized about schoolwork	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
11. Paying attention in school	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
12. Interacting socially with other students	1	2	3	4	5	6	(7)

Student Language Scale SLS

Monote Well Names, Ph.D., UCC-8LP Ratary Street, No.3, M.3.10 Michael A. Anderson, Ph.D., CCC-BLP



RATING SCALE

								_
Compared with other students of the same age, circle the number to show how good this student is at		Not good						
1. Understanding school vocabulary words		1	2	3	4	5	6	
2. Using school vocabulary words when talking	1+	ome	2 2 2 2 2	d 4 as	k ab	 t		Ī
3. Figuring out new words when reading								
4. Spelling words correctly when writing	sound/word level abilities for					for		
5. Understanding a story when listening	reading decoding and written					ten		
6. Telling a story that makes sense			•	courr	5 un			
7. Understanding a story when reading	S	pellin	g.					
8. Writing a story that makes sense		1	2	3	4	6	6	
9. Following spoken directions		1	2	3	4	5	6	
10. Being organized about schoolwork		1	2	3	4	5	6	
11. Paying attention in school		1	2	3	4	5	6	
12. Interacting socially with other students		1	2	3	4	5	6	

TILLS

Student Language Scale SLS

Nonus Wolf Nation, Ph.D., UCC-BJP Barbars Howes, Ph.D., M.S.H Michala A. Anderson, Ph.D., UCC-BJP



RATING SCALE

TILLS

The Property of the Party of th

Compared with other students of the same age, circle the number to show how good this student is at	Not good						Very good
1. Understanding school vocabulary words	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2. Using school vocabulary words when talking	1	2	3	4	(5)	6	7
3. Figuring out new words when reading	Items	5 and	d 6 as	k abo	out		7
4. Spelling words correctly when writing				7			
5. Understanding a story when listening	listening comprehension and						1
6. Telling a story that makes sense	spoke	n exp	oressi	on of	stori	es.	7
7. Understanding a story when reading			J		G	Ū	7
8. Writing a story that makes sense	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
9. Following spoken directions	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
10. Being organized about schoolwork	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
11. Paying attention in school	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
12. Interacting socially with other students	1	2	3	4	5	6	(7)

Student Language Scale SLS

Nonus Wolf Nation, Ph.D., UCC-BJP Barbars Howes, Ph.D., M.S.H Michala A. Anderson, Ph.D., UCC-BJP



RATING SCALE

Compared with other students of the same age, circle the number to show how good this student is at	Not good						Very good
1. Understanding school vocabulary words	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2. Using school vocabulary words when talking		2	3	4	(5)	6	7
3. Figuring out new words when reading	ltems 7	7 and	d 8 as	k abo	out		7
4. Spelling words correctly when writing							
5. Understanding a story when listening	reading comprehension and						
6. Telling a story that makes sense	written formulation of stories.						7
7. Understanding a story when reading		_					7
8. Writing a story that makes sense	1	2	3	4	(5)	6	7
9. Following spoken directions	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
10. Being organized about schoolwork	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
11. Paying attention in school	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
12. Interacting socially with other students	4	2	3	4	5	6	6


TILLS

TAXY BY CAMPAGE

Student Language Scale

Nonisa Wolf Nation, Ph.D., UCD-B.P Barbara Honas, Ph.D., M.S.H Michala A. Analarson, Ph.D., UCD-B.P

RATING SCALE



Scale SLS

Student Language Scale

Nonces Wolf Nation, Ph.D., 002–8LP Barbars Howes, Ph.D., M.S.H Michala A. Anderson, Ph.D., 002–9LP



SLS

Screening for Dyslexia

Compared with other students of the same age, circle the number to show how good this student is at	Not good						Very goo	
1. Understanding school vocabulary words	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
2. Using school vocabulary words when talking			• .					
3. Figuring out new words when reading	Low ratings on items 3 and 4, in							
4. Spelling words correctly when writing	conjunction with low ratings on							
5. Understanding a story when listening	items 7 & 8 (reading							
6. Telling a story that makes sense				Ŭ				
7. Understanding a story when reading	comp	rehe	nsion	and v	vritte	n		
8. Writing a story that makes sense	expres	ssior	n) sign	al a r	partic	ular		
9. Following spoken directions	-		. –			ми		
10. Being organized about schoolwork	risk fo	r dys	slexia.					
11. Paying attention in school	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
12. Interacting socially with other students	1	2	3	4	5	6	67	

Stude	nt								
Lang	ABILITY CHECKLIST								
	All a concerta concerta concerta concerta concerta concerta concerta a concerta concerta concerta con concerta c	think are easiest for this student to do:							
	Art (drawing/painting) Danc Reading Writing Other:	e □Music XMechanical XSports XMath XSocial □Listening XTalking 							
	Comments:								
	Outdoor / nature education								
	Please check the things that you think are hardest for this student to do:								
		e 🛛 Music 🖾 Mechanical 🖾 Sports 🖾 Math 🖾 Social 🖾 Listening 🖾 Talking							
	X Reading X Writing □ Other: Comments:	This duplicated checklist of skills represents							
		nonlanguage as well as language skill areas.							
	er .	Informants are asked to check the areas that are							
	Dime Const Rinchard River River River Commy R								
	alacat as	<i>easiest</i> for the student on the first list, and those							
	and you find an Andred to the evolution in . 2 Disease Others: Othersenal Others: Other Others: Oth	that are <i>hardest</i> for the student from the same set							
PRONTY GLEET	104	of choices. This allows for input on areas of strength,							
What one Herg do you Hit 2014 plan.	text is read regulated to high this student its baller at actual?	as well as on the second list on areas of concern.							




PRIORITY QUESTION

What one thing do you think is most important to help this student do better at school?

His 504 plan. Sports Dillate Discose Distances Dillators

This question is open ended, allowing a wide variety of responses, which can reflect personal meanings. It also requests the informant to prioritize the area of greatest concern.

Perfect for use with TILLS!



The SLS is an ideal companion to the groundbreaking <u>TILLS test</u>.

Start by giving the SLS to your whole class, and if results indicate a student is at risk, follow up with TILLS assessment.



For more information, visit www.brookespublishing.com/sls